

Who Answered the Questions? Testing the Source of Channeled Information

PATRIZIO TRESSOLDI, JULIETTE GALLO, LAURA LIBERALE,
NICOLA LO CONTE, VALENTINA RICCI, & FERNANDO SINESIO

Abstract: This study aimed to investigate the sources of information some people consider to be provided by external discarnate spiritual entities (SEs). Specifically, we aimed to test whether the information derives from conscious or unconscious sources of channelers or real discarnate SEs. Previous research has used surveys and interviews to gather information about purported sources of channeled information. This study used a new experimental design to test the reality of SEs as the source of channelers' information. In Experiment 1, four selected mediums were asked to connect with specific spiritual or discarnate SEs to channel the responses to six identical questions. In Experiment 2, five different mediums were asked to channel the responses to five questions from a single discarnate SE. All responses were recorded, transformed into text files, and analyzed with an AI chatbot (software for quantitative and qualitative analyses of text content), and two experts in qualitative text analyses (only in Experiment 2). Both the algorithmic and human experts in the text analysis results did not support the fact that the content similarity of the responses derived from SEs. The only more parsimonious alternative interpretation is that the content of the responses derives from the mediums themselves in a particular state of non-pathological dissociative consciousness.

Keywords: channeling, mediumship, source reliability, texts analysis

INTRODUCTION

The novelty of this study resides in the fact that, for the first time, a new experimental design is applied that aims to test whether channeled information is produced, consciously or unconsciously, by channelers/mediums, or the source is exclusively discarnate (i.e., Spiritual Entities; SEs). Historically, channeled information was judged as being

independent of channelers if the content was considered unknowable with respect to the channeler's education and information sources currently available in their lives. For example, it is taken for granted that Seth was a discarnate SE channeled by Jane Roberts (Roberts, 1974, 1994), and the source and wealth of information was judged by many as incompatible with Roberts' knowledge, given the variety of arguments and the accuracy of their description, ranging from topics on the psychology of the self to the nature of reality. Another example is Chico Xavier (Playfair, 2015), a renowned medium who wrote more than 450 books dictated by alleged 'Spiritual Instructors and Benefactors' using automatic writing or psychography (i.e., a handwriting style unique to the channeled SEs). Like Roberts, Xavier's information was attributed to these spiritual sources because it was deemed impossible for him to have such an extensive knowledge of religion, philosophy, and Portuguese literature and science.

Recently, channeling experiences have been investigated using modern scientific methods. Wahbeh and Butzer (2020), for example, surveyed 83 self-proclaimed channelers about their phenomenological experience, mental health, and personality characteristics, and, in particular, about the sources of their channeled experiences. The reported most common sources (participants could choose multiple sources) was the Higher Self (55%), group beings (52%), followed by Universal Mind (43%), Collective Unconscious (37%), Ascended Masters (35%), Angels (31%), Extraterrestrials (30%), Earthbound Spirits (27%), God or Gods (22%), Plants or Animals (22%), Elementals (19%), Jesus Christ (18%), and Devas (12%), a huge variety of purported sources.

Pederzoli et al. (2020) devised an interview approach for the purported SEs channeled by four selected mediums induced into 'channeling status' using hypnotic suggestions. By interviewing four purported different SEs on a variety of topics, ranging from physical, subtle, and psychic body characteristics and relationships, the supreme Being, spiritual evolution through multiple lives, and other arguments, the authors examined the degree of information agreement among these four sources. A complete report of this investigation is available in Pederzoli and Tressoldi (2021).

In another study, Wahbeh et al. (2023), asked 15 channelers to respond, in both their normal state of consciousness and in the channeled status, to 10 identical questions related to science (e.g., What is what humans call "matter" made of?) and philosophy (e.g., What is love?), to assess the correspondence of different channelers' responses for each question while in channeling and non-channeling states. The results were mixed: for some questions, responses in the channeled conditions were similar and/or complementary, whereas for other questions, responses were quite different.

The present study aims to test further the reality of SEs as the source of channelers' information with two experiments.

METHOD: EXPERIMENT 1

Participants

Participants were four adult females with extensive practice in channeling. Their chronological age ranged from 41 to 69 years. None used their skill as a profession. They were recruited by the authors who knew them personally.

Procedure

Each of the participants received six questions by email and were requested to obtain the responses from their preferred SE, and to record and transcribe those responses. All participants performed the task at home choosing their preferred time and location. None of the four knew the identity of the other to avoid any communication among them regarding the information received by their SEs.

Before the start of the study, each participant was requested to select and ask the interacting SE to describe and identify themselves. Once completed, each participant sent the responses to the principal investigator. Here follow the list of participant channelers and some information about their SEs:

1. Channeler: **Jul**; SE: **Servan**. Servan described himself as a Peacemaker-Healer and Astronomer with meaningful incarnations in the 18th century and who stopped incarnating in the 19th century.
2. Channeler: **Mar**; SE: **Astor**. Astor says he comes from another Universe. He is pure intelligent energy; he has no body he calls itself a Guide. He lives in an intermediate dimension, where there is no consistency; he is close to the Source. He has the ability to 'enter' into things to describe how and from what they are composed. He has the ability to create new energies—he is a Creator Energy—in particular, of new life forms and he has done so on Earth. He knows the work of dissemination, of awakening of consciences, of education that we are doing, and he says that it is also conducted by them. It is necessary to improve the now very precarious state of Humanity.
3. Channeler: **Val**; SE: **Light1**. A being that is actually a non-being, a warm white light. It is formless, just light. The Being reaffirms itself as light

and not matter, and that it lives in the cosmos—it is part of the light of the Universes. It has no name, because it is only a part of a light: It does not have its own identity and is a manifestation of something more advanced. It has never been physical.

4. Channeler: **Cris**; SE, **Light2**. He/She describe himself as “I am the One and in the One I manifest what is.”

The study was approved by the Science of Consciousness Research Group Ethics Committee (Protocol #1/2023).

Phase 1

In Phase 1 of the study, each participant was requested to ask their SE if he/she was willing to take part in the study and respond to the following six questions:

1. What is the most important message to be given to mankind as a whole at this moment in history, particularly for granting its evolution in the next 20 and 30 years?
2. What are the strands of scientific research that should favor the true evolution of mankind and avoid its self-destruction?
3. Are there sources of energy other than the known ones that human beings should study to make them available to all at affordable prices?
4. Is it possible to structure an inter-dimensional communication route? What is needed, that is not yet there?
5. Are there extraterrestrial intelligences and which kinds of potential interactions do they want to enact with human beings?
6. What makes human experience unique compared to other types of experience?

These questions were selected by the authors inspired by their personal curiosity. All channelers responded that their SE accepted this invitation.

Phase 2

In this phase, each medium was requested to ask their SE if he/she was willing to be channeled by one or two of the other channelers, and if so, give their names, passed by the principal investigator, and the number of the question(s) to respond.

All participants but medium Cris (and her SE; Light2) responded that their SE accepted the invitation. The SE Light1 accepted to be channeled by

Mar and gave three responses (3 with Mar + 3 with Val). Astor accepted to be channeled by Jul, and gave only one response (1 with Jul + 1 with Mar). Servan accepted to be channeled by Val, and gave three responses (3 with Val + 3 with Jul), and by Val and Cris giving two responses (2 with Val + 2 with Cris + 2 with Jul): Total of 20 responses from three SEs.

This procedure, allows us to compare the responses to similar questions obtained by the same purported SE.

Response Analyses

In order to analyze the content similarity among the responses to the same questions, we choose two methods.

The first method entailed the use of the online AI program ChatGPT (v.3.5) with the following prompt: “*Compare these two (or three) responses to the question [question and responses are inserted here]. Now, rate the degree of content (semantic) similarity of the responses on a scale from 0 to 10*”. This method was used by Le Mens et al. (2023) to classify books’ literary genres.

As second method we used Quanteda (Benoit et al., 2018); a software package which allows us to estimate the Cosine similarity index which measures the similarity between two numerical vectors representing the frequency of words included in the two texts to be compared by taking the cosine of the angle the two vectors make in their dot product space. If the angle is zero, their similarity is one, the larger the angle is, the smaller their similarity. The measure is independent of vector length (the two vectors can be of different length), which makes it a commonly used measure for high-dimensional spaces (Zahrotun, 2016, p. 13). The cosine similarity index ranges from 0 to 1, with 1 being the most similar and 0 being the least similar. We predefined 0.6 as the minimum level of similarity.

RESULTS

Responses to the Questions

All main highlights of the responses to the six questions by the four purported SEs, extracted by the AI program ChatGPT, with the prompt: “*extract and list the highlights of the contents of the following text [followed by transcript of the response]*” are presented in Tables A1 to A6 in Appendix A.

It is quite easy to recognize that all four SEs responded differently to the six questions. For example, the response to question 1, “What is the

most important message to be given to mankind as a whole at this moment in history, particularly for granting its evolution in the next 20 and 30 years?" by Light2 can be summarized as "*there is a difference between the sphinxes' ability to see the bigger picture and humans' tendency to focus on the smaller details.*" In contrast, the response by Servan can be summarized as "*There is a need for global consensus on the processes and procedures that should be observed around artificial intelligence.*"

Among the similarity of the responses, we observed only two agreements (highlighted in Table A4 & Table A5). One response to Question 4 ("Is it possible to structure an inter-dimensional communication route?"), where both SE Astor and Light1 responded that inter-dimensional communication is "possible". A second agreement concerned responses to Question 5 relating to "extraterrestrial intelligences" where SE Astor and Servan confirmed their existence.

In Table 1, we compared both the content similarity level obtained by ChatGPT and the cosine similarity index obtained by the Quanteda software. The highlights of all responses with the ChatGPT evaluation are presented in Tables B1 to B3 in Appendix B.

The quantitative comparison between ChatGPT and the cosine similarity results reveals that in both cases the average content similarity among the responses to the questions is very low (max. score = 10); Spearman's $r_s = -0.33$.

Even a simple qualitative analysis between the responses (see Tables B1 to B3), clearly shows that they are different. For example, the original response by Light1 channeled by Val to the question "What is the most important message ...", has the following highlights:

- "A great upheaval is coming;
- The Earth will be divided into two parts;
- Only a portion of people will survive;
- Humans need to learn to contact the Supreme Being; Each being is an antenna;
- There is no one-size-fits-all technique; Being in silence can help".

In contrast, the response channeled by Mar to the same question has the following highlights:

- "Humanity is living in a wicked way;
- Humanity needs to cleanse and purify;
- Humanity needs to stop living in superficiality;
- Humanity needs to collaborate, help each other, and care for the planet;

- Humanity needs to change their way of thinking;
- Humanity needs to be more determined, loving, and desiring to build”.

Table 1
Content Similarity Level and Cosine Similarity Index Related to the Responses to the Same Questions by Different Channelers

SE & Channelers	Question	ChatGPT Content Similarity	Cosine Similarity Index*
Light1 channeled by Val and Mar (6 Responses)	What is the most important message to be given to mankind...	4.0	.49
	What are the strands of scientific research...	3.0	.44
	Are there extraterrestrial intelligences...	4.0	.40
Astor channeled by Mar and Jul (2 Responses)	What is the most important message to be given to mankind...	5.0	.74
Servan channeled by Jul and Val (6 Responses)	What is the most important message to be given to mankind...	2.0	.61
	What are the strands of scientific research...	3.0	.52
	Are there sources of energy other than the known ones...	2.0	.49
Servan channeled by Jul, Val, and Cris (6 Responses)	Is it possible to structure an inter-dimensional communication route?	4.3*	.47*
	What makes human experience unique....	6.3*	.37*
Mean similarity score/index (9 questions)		3.7	.53
95% CIs		2.6 – 4.8	.41 – .59

Note: * = mean of the three channelers.

Another example is the response to Servan to the question “What makes human experience unique compared to other types of experience?” The highlights of the response channeled by Jul were:

- “Human experience is the most difficult;
- Humans have the ability to build and destroy;
- Humans learn through their experiences”.

In contrast, the highlights of the response channeled by Val were:

- “Having experiences in physicality actually accelerates the learning process ...”;
- “The human being is mostly unaware ...”;
- “... living [human experience] concretely ... elevates ... consciousness”;
- “[Human experience] is an irreplaceable experience”.

DISCUSSION

The main aim of the first experiment was to investigate the source of the channeled information. According to the mediums, information is obtained by external SEs and not by their minds in a non-ordinary state of consciousness. The experimental procedure introduced in Phase 2 of the experiment, represents the first attempt to disentangle this ‘source problem’. Asking SEs to respond to similar questions by different mediums enables one to discriminate between SEs and mediums as information sources.

If the channelers’ responses are similar, the probability that they derive from their minds is quite low, thus supporting by default the hypothesis that SEs are the real source of the responses. In contrast, if the responses differ, a more plausible explanation is that the responses derived from the mediums’ minds. The quantitative analyses presented in Table 1 support the hypothesis that the responses are derived from the mediums’ minds in a non-ordinary state of consciousness and not from external SEs.

We can hypothesize that mediums are able to enter a particular non-ordinary state of consciousness, which allows them to retrieve information that is not accessible in a normal state of consciousness. From the mediums’ point of view, information is erroneously interpreted as deriving from external sources, such as SEs.

METHOD: EXPERIMENT 2

The aim of the second experiment was to test whether a single SE can be channeled by different channelers/mediums. By analyzing the

responses to identical questions, it is possible to test whether their content and communication style can be attributed to a single spiritual entity.

Participants

The participants were five expert mediums selected for their skills by team members, both by personal knowledge and after their performance in experimental studies on mediumship (Tressoldi et al., 2022).

Procedure

In the first phase of this experiment, all participants were requested to ask their preferred SEs to respond to the following five questions:

1. What is the nature of any catastrophic event to dramatically reduce human population in the United States in the next 15 years? When, where and by what agency will this catastrophic event take place?
2. Since 1857, has the Other Side failed and Mankind failed to achieve good progress in securing human spirituality? If so, are bad spirits and bad humans winning? If not, explain to people on Earth why the Other Side has not failed in fostering spiritual evolution.
3. What difference has the Other Side made in the past 166 years in influencing humans to accelerate their spiritual progress?
4. To what extent have earthbound spirits since 1857 impeded, sabotaged or distorted the progress of human spiritual evolution?
5. What is the most important message to be given to mankind as a whole at this moment in history, particularly for granting its evolution in the next 20 and 30 years?

The first four questions were offered by the funding body of this experiment. The last question was chosen by the authors.

After a discussion between the authors and the mediums, the research team chose Gustavo Rol as the entity to be involved in the second phase of the project. Gustavo Rol was a renowned Italian “spiritual Master of Christian-Catholic orientation, who lived in Italy in the twentieth century (1903-1994), gifted with many “paranormal powers” ” (<https://gustavorol.org/index.php/en/>).

One member of the research team agreed to an online meeting, mainly using Zoom software, with each of the mediums separately. On the day of the meeting, two members of the research team, L.L. and F.S., acted as interviewers and recorded the verbal responses of the mediums. The mediums were requested to connect with Gustavo Rol and respond to the

interviewers' questions. Interviewers were given permission to seek clarification and rephrasing to obtain full and clear responses to each question. To avoid any communication related to the task among the mediums, apart from their consent, none of them knew in advance the aim of the task. One session was necessary for each medium in order to obtain responses to the five questions.

Response Analyses

Each recorded response was then transformed into a text file. All responses are available in the file Experiment2ResponsesComparison.docx available open access at <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.24718134>

One medium did not respond to Question 4, for a total of 24 responses.

To compare the contents/semantic similarity among the five different responses obtained by the five mediums to each of the five identical questions, we used three quantitative approaches: the two methods used in Experiment 1, ChatGPT and Quanteda, and the third by involving two human experts in text analyses with at least two years of experience. Both were postgraduate psychology students who were paid for their collaboration.

All responses to the five questions, were sent by email to them and they were requested: *“For each of the 5 questions, evaluate the degree of similarity of the contents (contents comparison) of the 5 answers on a scale of 1 to 10.”*

Furthermore, they were requested: *“Again on a scale of 1 to 10, evaluating both the contents and the expository style, how much could the five answers be attributable to a single person?”*

This second evaluation aimed to evaluate how much the expository style could be attributed to a single person even if the responses were different.

The two judges carried out these comparisons using the procedures of content analysis as described by Krippendorff (2018), followed by their quantitative estimation on a scale of 1 to 10.

They were blinded to the authors' responses and to the results of the cosine similarity indexes to prevent bias in their interpretation.

By using three quantitative methods, two algorithms based on mathematical statistical models, and the other based on subjective method, it is possible to obtain a more robust analysis of content similarity among the responses to the same questions.

RESULTS

The average scores related to content/semantic similarity among the responses to the five questions, obtained using the three methods, are presented in Table 2.

Table 2
Average Scores Assigned to the Content/Semantic Similarity among the Responses obtained by the Five Channelers to the Five Identical Questions

Question	ChatGPT Content Similarity	Cosine Similarity Index	Text Analysts
What is the nature of any catastrophic event ...	2.5	.55	3.00
Since 1857, has the Other Side failed and Mankind ...	4.5	.57	4.60
What difference has the Other Side made in the past 166 years ...	3.5	.47	4.20
To what extent have earthbound spirits since 1857 impeded, ...	4.5	.59	3.45
What is the most important message to be given to mankind ...	5.0	.55	4.85
Mean	4.0	.55	4.00
95% CIs	2.7 – 5.2	.49 – 6.0	3.0 – 4.9

Contents similarity analyses

The cosine similarity indexes of all comparisons are available in the file *Experiment2ResponsesComparison.xlsx*, the two judges' evaluations are available in the file *IndependentJudgesAnalyses.xlsx*, both available open access at: <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.24718134>

Identity similarity analyses

- Judge 1: Identity level: Mean = 5.98 (*SD* = 1.03);

- Judge 2: Identity level: Mean = 2.25 ($SD = .84$);
- Average Identity level: Mean = 4.09 ($SD = 2.18$).

Even if the two judges' rating of the Identity level are quite different, very probably due to the difficulty of such a task, considering that the range of both the cosine similarity indices is 0 to 1, and the evaluation scale used by the two experts in text analyses and ChatGPT was 1 to 10, taking into account the means and the corresponding confidence intervals, the results of the algorithmic and human experts in text analyses are very close, approximately half the range of the scales. These results suggest a very low level of content and identity similarity among the responses of the five mediums to the five questions.

GENERAL DISCUSSION

The main contribution of this study is to show that it is possible to investigate the 'source problem' of purportedly channeled information. The joint aim of the two experiments was to test whether a single selected spiritual entity (SE) could be channeled by different mediums responding to the same set of questions. If the contents of the responses to the questions were similar, we would have support for the hypothesis that the responses were not delivered by the mediums themselves but by an external entity (an SE). Both the algorithmic and human experts' results do not support the fact that both the content similarity and the identity level may be derived from a single entity or person. Similar results were obtained by Wahbeh et al. (2023), by putting identical questions to different channelers.

The only plausible alternative is that the content of the responses derives from the mediums themselves in a particular state of consciousness, with the characteristics of a non-pathological dissociative identity disorder (DID) as discussed by Pederzoli, Tressoldi and Wahbeh (2022). This particular mental condition is characterized by levels of nonpathological symptoms typical of classical DID (e.g., experiencing prolonged periods of two or more distinct personalities, amnesia, interpersonal problems, mood, and behavior alterations, etc.). In this self-induced state of consciousness, mediums possibly retrieved their responses to the questions from their intuitions and own personal knowledge base, but they unconsciously assign the source to an external entity. We emphasize that these findings should not be generalized and can only apply to the nine mediums involved in this study and in particular to the limited range of questions chosen by the authors and the funding body.

Future investigations adopting our experimental procedures (or variations thereof) using different mediums and tasks, may provide further support to our provisional interpretation of the source problem—which is to say that the sources of the channeled information do appear to be the mediums themselves.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank all mediums who take part in this study for their commitment and motivation.

FUNDING

Experiment 2 was funded by the Bigelow Institute of Consciousness Studies under the Challenge Grant.

REFERENCES

- Benoit, K., Watanabe, K., Wang, H., Nulty, P., Obeng, A., Müller, S., & Matsuo, A. (2018). Quanteda: An R package for the quantitative analysis of textual data. *Journal of Open Source Software*, 3(30), 774. doi:10.21105/joss.00774. <https://quanteda.io>
- Le Mens, G., Kovács, B., Hannan, M. T., & Pros, G. (2023). Uncovering the semantics of concepts using GPT-4. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 120(49), e2309350120. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2309350120>
- Pederzoli, L., Prati, E., Resti, N., Carlo, D. D., & Tressoldi, P. (2020). Hypno-channelings: A new tool for the investigation of channeling experiences. *Journal of Consciousness Exploration & Research*, 11(5), 504–514.
- Pederzoli, L., & Tressoldi, P. (2021). Is human consciousness eternal? Let's ask those in the hereafter to tell us. Preprint available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/357056767_Is_human_consciousness_eternal_Let's_ask_those_in_the_hereafter_to_tell_us
- Pederzoli, L., Tressoldi, P., & Wahbeh, H. (2022). Channeling: A non-pathological possession and dissociative identity experience or something else? *Culture, Medicine, and Psychiatry*, 46(2), 161-169. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11013-021-09730-9>
- Playfair, G. L. (2015). Chico Xavier. *Psi Encyclopedia*. The Society for Psychical Research. <https://psi-encyclopedia.spr.ac.uk/articles/chico-xavier>
- Roberts, J. (1974). *The nature of personal reality: A Seth book*. Prentice-Hall.

- Roberts, J. (1994). *Seth speaks: The eternal validity of the soul*. New World Library.
- Tressoldi, P., Liberale, L., & Sinesio, F. (2022). Is there someone in the hereafter? Mediumship accuracy of 100 readings obtained with a triple level of blinding protocol. *OMEGA-Journal of Death and Dying*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00302228221146>
- Wahbeh, H., & Butzer, B. (2020). Characteristics of English-speaking trance channelers. *Explore*, 16(5), 304–309. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.explore.2020.02.002>
- Wahbeh, H., Speirn, P., Pederzoli, L. & Tressoldi, P. (2023). Channelers' answers to questions from scientists: An exploratory study. *Journal of Scientific Exploration*, 37(3), 348–369. <https://doi.org/10.31275/20232907>
- Zahrotun, L. (2016). Comparison Jaccard similarity, cosine similarity and combined both of the data clustering with shared nearest neighbor method. *Computer Engineering and Applications Journal*, 5(1), 11–18.

Patrizio Tressoldi
Science of Consciousness Research Group
Studium Patavinum
Padova University
ITALY

Email (Corresponding author): patrizio.tressoldi@unipd.it

Juliette Gallo
Independent Researcher
London
UK

Laura Liberale and Fernando Sinesio
Gruppo di Ricerca Italiano sulla Medianità - Italian Research Group on Mediumship (GRIM)
ITALY

Nicola Lo Conte and Valentina Ricci
EvanLab
Firenze
ITALY

APPENDIX A

CHATGPT HIGHLIGHTS OF THE RESPONSES TO THE SIX QUESTIONS BY THE FOUR SEs
 CHANNELED BY THE FOUR MEDIUMS.

Table A1
 SEs Responses to Question 1

Question	Light2	Astor	Servan	Light1
<p>What is the most important message to be given to mankind as a whole at this moment in history, particularly for granting its evolution in the next 20 and 30 years?</p>	<p>Comparison: there is a difference between the sphinxes' ability to see the bigger picture and humans' tendency to focus on the smaller details.</p> <p>Open your eyes to the bigger picture and to see the world in a more expansive way.</p> <p>Revelation: humans often limit themselves by assuming that their limited perspective is the only one that matters.</p> <p>Instruction: expand your perspective and to see the world in a more holistic way.</p> <p>Encouragement : open your mind to new possibilities and see the world in</p>	<p>Importance of taking care of the spiritual essence: We all have an essence beyond the physical body, and it is important to take care of this evanescent part of ourselves. Neglecting our spiritual essence leads to degeneration of the planet as a whole.</p> <p>Urgency of change: Humanity is at a crossroads and can no longer afford to digress, be dissolute, or live lightly. The time for change is now.</p> <p>Need for a change of heart: A change in the way we think and feel is essential for bringing about real change.</p>	<p>The need for global consensus on AI: There is a need for global consensus on the processes and procedures that should be observed around artificial intelligence.</p> <p>The potential benefits of AI for Mother Nature: AI has the potential to help heal Mother Nature and address ecological threats.</p> <p>The need for responsible development of AI: AI must be developed responsibly in order to avoid misuse and unintended consequences.</p> <p>The importance of public engagement: The public must be engaged in the</p>	<p>A great upheaval is coming: There will be a great upheaval on Earth in the near future.</p> <p>The Earth will be divided into two parts: The Earth will be divided into two parts, one in light and one in shadow.</p> <p>Only a portion of people will survive: Only a portion of people will survive the upheaval.</p> <p>Humans need to learn to contact the Supreme Being: Humans need to learn to contact the Supreme Being directly.</p> <p>Each being is an antenna: Each being is an antenna and has the ability to contact the Supreme Being.</p> <p>There is no one-</p>

	<p>a new way.</p> <p>Conclusion: understanding of the world is not fixed, but is constantly evolving as we learn and grow.</p>	<p>We must open our hearts to the possibility of change and be willing to sacrifice for the greater good.</p>	<p>development and regulation of AI.</p>	<p>size-fits-all technique: There is no one-size-fits-all technique for contacting the Supreme Being.</p> <p>Being in silence can help: Being in silence can help people to find their way to contact the Supreme Being.</p>
--	---	---	--	--

Table A2
SEs Responses to Question 2

Question	Light2	Astor	Servan	Light1
<p>What are the strands of scientific research that should favor the true evolution of mankind and avoid its self-destruction ?</p>	<p>Scientific research is essential for the evolution of humanity and for preventing its self-destruction.</p> <p>Scientific research is a process of searching for knowledge and understanding. It is like searching for an image in one's mind, and then seeing that image multiply and expand into many other images.</p> <p>The nature of reality is multidimensional and the potential for scientific research is to access and communicate with</p>	<p>The need to develop alternative energies: There are naturally exploitable energies besides wind and sun, water and also electromagnetic energy that is not yet channelled in the right way. We need to study these energies and develop new equipment and instrumentation to harness them.</p> <p>The importance of protecting the environment: We also need to protect species, both plant and animal, when developing alternative energies. Some of these energies come from underground, and we need to be careful not to disrupt the natural balance of</p>	<p>The importance of predictive models: Predictive models are essential for predicting and preventing natural disasters and adverse climate changes.</p> <p>The need to act on early warnings: Early warning systems should be used to prevent and avoid man-made disasters.</p> <p>The need for a change in human behavior: Humans need to learn to live in peace with</p>	<p>Contacting the Supreme Being is essential for evolution: To evolve, people must contact the Supreme Being.</p> <p>Psychic consciousness is important: Any kind of study that expands people's state of psychic consciousness is acceptable.</p> <p>Psychic capacity is great: People should realize how great their psychic capacity is.</p>

	<p>different planes of existence.</p> <p>Scientists can learn to see the world from multiple perspectives and to create new visions of reality.</p> <p>Question your own assumptions about reality and be open to new possibilities.</p>	<p>the ecosystem.</p> <p>The need for research and development: There have already been scientists who have tried to harness different types of energy, but without much success. We need to continue research and development in this area to make alternative energies a reality.</p>	<p>each other and with nature in order to avoid self-destruction.</p> <p>The potential of predictive models: Predictive models will become more sophisticated in the next 10 years, making it possible to prevent catastrophic events.</p>	<p>Studies that aim to expand psychic consciousness will serve the evolution of mankind: Any study aimed at expanding psychic consciousness will serve the evolution of mankind.</p>
--	--	--	---	---

Table A3
SEs Responses to Question 3

Question	Light2	Astor	Servan	Light1
<p>Are there sources of energy other than the known ones that human beings should study to make them available to all at affordable prices?</p>	<p>Key terms: "photosynthesis", "photovoltaics," "compressed photos," "photonic fusion"</p> <p>Claim: "When you learn about the photonic pathway you will understand more. That is the answer."</p> <p>Explanation: "The way of photosynthesis, photovoltaics, compressed photos, photonic fusion. These are all examples of the</p>	<p>Ancient peoples used energy from minerals: Ancient peoples, such as the Egyptians, used energy from minerals for celebrations and medical purposes.</p> <p>The formula for this energy was lost: The formula for harnessing this energy was lost at a time when power was taking over.</p> <p>There are still places where</p>	<p>The need to study South Asia: South Asia has not been studied enough, and there may be natural gases that are still to be discovered.</p> <p>The importance of using existing sources of energy: We should focus on using existing sources of energy rather than finding new ones.</p> <p>The potential of natural</p>	<p>Humans have exploited the planet: Humans have deliberately exploited the planet and continue to do so.</p> <p>Humans need to consume less: Humans need to consume less in order to reduce their impact on the planet.</p> <p>Humans</p>

	<p>photonic pathway."</p> <p>Application: "From there you will then expand other knowledge."</p> <p>Challenge: "But first you must remain in this initial correspondence of intent."</p> <p>Conclusion: "The initiation of other studies is beginning even before you know. Things are already manifesting."</p>	<p>this energy is used: There are still places where this energy is used, but they have not yet been discovered.</p> <p>Some people are researching this energy: Some people are researching this energy, but the results have not yet been made public.</p>	<p>sources of energy: There are many natural sources of energy that we can use, such as chimney fires and potatoes.</p> <p>The need to be mindful of geopolitical situations: When we find new sources of energy, we need to be mindful of how geopolitical situations can impact prices.</p>	<p>need to learn to do with less: Humans need to learn to live with less in order to protect the planet.</p>
--	---	--	---	---

Table A4
SEs Responses to Question 4

Question	Light2	Astor	Servan	Light1
<p>Is it possible to structure an inter-dimensional communication route? What is needed, that is not yet there?</p>	<p>Reality is like a blank sheet of paper that can be used to create many different things.</p> <p>Multidimensionality is not about creating separate realities, but about creating within a reality that is already</p>	<p>Inter-dimensional communication is possible: Although inter-dimensional communication is not yet possible in an official or recognized way, it is happening nonetheless.</p> <p>Scientists are getting close to studying inter-dimensional communication : Scientists in quantum physics</p>	<p>Inter-dimensional communication is already happening: Inter-dimensional communication is already happening, although it is not visible to most people.</p> <p>We need to train people to communicate inter-dimensionally: We need to train people, not only those who have natural abilities, but everyone else, and the youth in particular.</p> <p>We need to develop</p>	<p>Communication between dimensions is possible: It is possible to create a communication pathway between dimensions.</p> <p>Current technologies are not advanced enough: There are no technologies advanced enough to monitor communication</p>

<p>coexisting.</p> <p>Multidimensionality can be used to find answers by looking at all possibilities, not just one.</p> <p>Look within yourself for answers, rather than only looking outside of yourself.</p> <p>Multidimensionality can be accessed through an alpha state.</p>	<p>are getting very close to studying the possibility of inter-dimensional communication.</p> <p>Consciousness is the key to inter-dimensional communication : Consciousness is the key to inter-dimensional communication. Some people are exploring these unknown realms, but they are often crushed by the mass that demands only certainties.</p>	<p>our intuition: The only way to fully develop inter-dimensional communication is to develop our intuition.</p> <p>AI can help us to structure inter-dimensional communication: AI can help us to structure inter-dimensional communication by modeling the patterns that are observed during inter-dimensional communication.</p> <p>We need to teach meditation in schools: We need to teach meditation in schools so that people can learn how to access altered states of mind that are required for inter-dimensional communication.</p>	<p>between dimensions.</p> <p>Psychic abilities are needed: The only way that human beings can communicate between dimensions is to become conscious of their psychic abilities.</p> <p>No limits to communication : There are no limits to communication between dimensions.</p> <p>Instrumentation is not available: There is no instrumentation available to record communication between dimensions</p>
---	--	---	--

Table A5
SEs Responses to Question 5

Question	Light2	Astor	Servan	Light1
<p>Are there extraterrestrial intelligences and which kinds of potential interactions do they want to enact with</p>	<p>We should not limit ourselves to what we know or understand.</p> <p>There are many different</p>	<p>Extraterrestrial intelligences exist: Extraterrestrial intelligences exist and have appeared repeatedly in your world since ancient times.</p> <p>Not all extraterrestrials</p>	<p>Different sources of intelligence exist: There are different sources of intelligence in the universe, including extraterrestrial intelligences.</p> <p>Extraterrestrial intelligences are</p>	<p>Beings outside Earth can interact with humans: There are beings outside Earth who can interact with humans.</p> <p>Humans have</p>

<p>human beings?</p>	<p>planes of existence, and each one contains a wealth of knowledge and experience.</p> <p>We can learn to connect with these different planes of existence through vision, concentration, and opening our hearts.</p> <p>We need to be willing to let go of our limited perspectives in order to experience the full potential of existence.</p> <p>If we remain stuck in one vision, we will never be able to fully understand or experience the world.</p>	<p>have good intentions: Not all extraterrestrials have good intentions. Some have come to Earth to experiment on humans.</p> <p>Humans have also done bad things: Humans have also done bad things to each other and to the planet.</p> <p>There are other beings who are trying to help: There are other beings who are trying to help Earth and its inhabitants.</p> <p>These beings are motivated by balance and love: These beings are motivated by balance and love, but they cannot interfere with human free will.</p> <p>Remember the karmic aspects: Remember that everything that happens has karmic consequences, both for perpetrators and victims.</p>	<p>not human-like: Extraterrestrial intelligences are not human-like in appearance or form.</p> <p>Extraterrestrial intelligences use telepathy to communicate: Extraterrestrial intelligences use telepathy to communicate with each other.</p> <p>Humans are the ones who want to communicate with extraterrestrial intelligences: Humans are the ones who are interested in communicating with extraterrestrial intelligences.</p> <p>Extraterrestrial intelligences will not impose their will on humans: Extraterrestrial intelligences will not try to impose their will on humans.</p> <p>Humans can learn from extraterrestrial intelligences: Humans can learn from extraterrestrial intelligences about the process of creation and how to manipulate energy without destroying.</p> <p>Humans and extraterrestrial intelligences can</p>	<p>little self-consciousness : Humans have little self-consciousness, which makes them vulnerable to manipulation by other beings.</p> <p>Some beings crave the experience of humans: Some beings crave the experience of humans because it facilitates them in some way.</p> <p>Humans should be careful when interacting with other beings: Humans should be careful when interacting with other beings because they may not be giving them accurate information.</p> <p>Some beings have little interest in contact with humans: Some beings have little interest in contact with humans because they</p>
-----------------------------	---	---	---	--

			<p>work together: Humans and extraterrestrial intelligences can work together for the common good.</p> <p>Humans need to change their ways: Humans need to change their ways in order to save the earth plane.</p>	<p>are at a higher evolutionary level.</p> <p>Beings who interact with humans have the convenience of doing so: Beings who interact with humans do so because it is convenient for them in some way.</p>
--	--	--	--	---

Table A6
CEs Responses to Question 6

Question	Light2	Astor	Servan	Light1
<p>What makes human experience unique compared to other types of experience?</p>	<p>Humans are what they create in their brains.</p> <p>The brain is a set of potentialities, and what we know is only a small part of what it is capable of.</p> <p>We can use our brains to create new possibilities and to manifest our desires.</p> <p>We need to be open to new developments in our operating</p>	<p>Earth is a unique planet: Earth is one of the most suitable planets for human development because of its diversity of life, its mineral wealth, and its ideal chemical combinations for life.</p> <p>Humans are meant to evolve: Humans are meant to evolve through experiences, and Earth provides a perfect environment</p>	<p>Human experience is the most difficult: Human experience is the most difficult of all experiences because humans have the ability to feel and inflict pain.</p> <p>Humans have the ability to build and destroy: Humans have the ability to build and destroy at the same time.</p> <p>Humans learn through their experiences: Humans learn</p>	<p>Human experience is unique: Human experience is unique because humans have a physical body and a soul.</p> <p>Humans have little consciousness: Humans have little consciousness, but their bodies can unconsciously create information that is directed towards the Supreme Being.</p> <p>Humans create information quickly: Humans create</p>

	<p>system and to expand our imagination.</p> <p>We are all capable of great things, but we need to believe in ourselves and in our potential.</p>	<p>for this.</p> <p>Everything is in constant change: Everything in the universe is in constant evolution, transformation, and change.</p>	<p>so much as their lives on earth unfold because they have powerful free will and the ability to use it to either create or destroy.</p>	<p>information quickly, faster than other species.</p> <p>Humans are desirable: Human experience is desirable because it is unique and allows humans to connect with the Supreme Being.</p>
--	---	---	---	--

APPENDIX B

Table B1
SE Light1 Responses Highlights to the Same Questions as Reported by Different Channelers

Question	Light1 channeled by Val	Light1 channeled by Mar
<p>What is the most important message to be given to mankind...</p>	<p>A great upheaval is coming: There will be a great upheaval on Earth in the near future.</p> <p>The Earth will be divided into two parts: The Earth will be divided into two parts, one in light and one in shadow.</p> <p>Only a portion of people will survive: Only a portion of people will survive the upheaval.</p> <p>Humans need to learn to contact the Supreme Being: Humans need to learn to contact the Supreme Being directly.</p> <p>Each being is an antenna: Each being is an antenna and has the ability to contact the Supreme Being.</p> <p>There is no one-size-fits-all technique: There is no one-size-fits-all technique for contacting the Supreme Being.</p> <p>Being in silence can help: Being in silence can help people to find their way to contact the Supreme Being.</p>	<p>Humanity is living in a wicked way: Humanity is living in a wicked way, without judgement, and without sense.</p> <p>Humanity needs to cleanse and purify: Humanity needs to cleanse and purify at the base of their essences.</p> <p>Humanity needs to stop living in superficiality: Humanity needs to stop living in superficiality and feeding themselves with poisons and low-quality substances.</p> <p>Humanity needs to collaborate, help each other, and care for the planet: Humanity needs to collaborate, help each other, and care for the planet.</p> <p>Humanity needs to change their way of thinking: Humanity needs to change their way of thinking and stop feeding conflicting forces.</p> <p>Humanity needs to be more determined, loving, and desiring to build: Humanity needs to be more determined, loving, and desiring to build instead of destroying.</p>
<p>ChatGPT</p>	<p>The semantic similarity between the two responses can be rated around 4 out of 10. Both responses convey messages about change and improvement for humanity, emphasizing the need for a shift in consciousness and behavior. However, they differ significantly in the specific details and focus of their messages.</p> <p>Response 1 emphasizes the importance of individual spiritual evolution, urging people to connect directly with a higher power. It stresses the need for silence and introspection to achieve this connection and fulfill one's purpose</p>	

	<p>on Earth.</p> <p>Response 2, on the other hand, emphasizes purification, a call for a change in lifestyle, and a shift towards collaboration, mutual aid, and environmental care. It highlights the consequences of current actions and urges a fundamental change in thinking to avoid self-destruction.</p>	
<p>What are the strands of scientific research...</p>	<p>Contacting the Supreme Being is essential for evolution: To evolve, people must contact the Supreme Being.</p> <p>Psychic consciousness is important: Any kind of study that expands people's state of psychic consciousness is acceptable.</p> <p>Psychic capacity is great: People should realize how great their psychic capacity is.</p> <p>Studies that aim to expand psychic consciousness will serve the evolution of mankind: Any study aimed at expanding psychic consciousness will serve the evolution of mankind.</p>	<p>Great resources: Your planet still possesses great resources that are badly exploited and are not being channelled into positive, constructive projects.</p> <p>Potential: Some minds have potential that is not exploited in the right direction.</p> <p>Power games: Other minds are blocked by power games that do not allow studies, experiments, inventions, and discoveries to evolve.</p> <p>Foolish: All this is foolish! One thinks one possesses invincible power.</p> <p>Opportunity: You still have the opportunity to exploit your raw materials with innovative projects that lead to new, clean forms of energy.</p> <p>Interest: There is an interest behind them to continue with the use of heavy energies that are close to destroying, dying, sowing disaster and disharmony.</p> <p>Powers: There are powers among you that have an interest in remaining at the top in order to destroy the mass that they consider superfluous.</p> <p>Change: There is the possibility of harnessing forces of nature to channel them. You can with thought create and counteract, awareness can increase the number and can go to</p>

		<p>enhance the effect of change.</p> <p>Breakthroughs: You can achieve evolutionary breakthroughs in medicine, in physics, your physical scientists are discovering neglected laws, to arrive at solutions and discoveries that could have been exploited long ago.</p>
<p>ChatGPT</p>	<p>The semantic similarity between these two responses can be rated around 3 out of 10. Both responses touch upon the importance of scientific research for humanity's evolution and avoiding self-destruction but approach the topic from distinct perspectives and highlight different aspects.</p> <p>Response 1 focuses on the expansion of psychic consciousness and the realization of human potential. It emphasizes the need for studies that elevate psychic awareness, suggesting that any study aimed at expanding this consciousness serves the evolution of mankind.</p> <p>On the other hand, Response 2 emphasizes the misuse of resources and the hindrance of scientific progress by certain powers. It discusses the potential of scientific research to improve life and create innovative projects, especially in the realm of clean energy and neglected areas of physics and medicine. It highlights the obstruction of progress due to vested interests that prioritize destructive energies.</p> <p>While both responses advocate for scientific research to benefit humanity, Response 1 emphasizes psychic consciousness expansion, while Response 2 focuses on the hindrance of scientific progress due to vested interests and the potential for scientific breakthroughs in various fields. These differences contribute to the lower semantic similarity score.</p>	
<p>Are there extraterrestrial intelligences...</p>	<p>Beings outside Earth can interact with humans: There are beings outside Earth who can interact with humans.</p> <p>Humans have little self-consciousness: Humans have little self-consciousness, which makes them vulnerable to manipulation by other beings.</p> <p>Some beings crave the experience of humans: Some beings crave the experience of humans because it facilitates them in some way.</p> <p>Humans should be careful when interacting with other beings: Humans should be careful when</p>	<p>Manifestations of entities: There are manifestations of entities from other planets taking place.</p> <p>Cooperation: Some entities are cooperating with humans, while others are interfering.</p> <p>Energetic quality: These entities have a higher energetic quality than humans.</p> <p>Shield: It is necessary to hold firmly within us our core, our essence of light, which can be enhanced by the care of our deepest self, until it becomes a shield that protects and</p>

	<p>interacting with other beings because they may not be giving them accurate information.</p> <p>Some beings have little interest in contact with humans: Some beings have little interest in contact with humans because they are at a higher evolutionary level.</p> <p>Beings who interact with humans have the convenience of doing so: Beings who interact with humans do so because it is convenient for them in some way.</p>	<p>bounces such energies.</p> <p>Cohesion: The individual cannot form this protection on their own, it takes cohesion, great numbers.</p> <p>Awareness: To achieve this requires awareness through awakening.</p> <p>Thought forms: Unite and create new thought forms of light, that is what must be accomplished.</p> <p>Enlightens: The moment a thought-form is formed that enlightens, it is as if the essences come together, that is why it is important to disseminate.</p>
<p>ChatGPT</p>	<p>The semantic similarity between these two responses on extraterrestrial intelligences and potential interactions can be rated around 4 out of 10. Both responses touch upon the existence of beings outside Earth and their interactions with humans, but they differ significantly in their emphasis and perspectives.</p> <p>Response 1 discusses the existence of beings beyond Earth, highlighting various types of interactions they may seek with humans. It mentions beings without physical bodies that seek experiences through human interactions, cautioning about the unclear nature of the information they provide and their desire for knowledge exchange. It also distinguishes between populations with different levels of interest in contact with humans based on their evolutionary stage.</p> <p>On the other hand, Response 2 also acknowledges manifestations of entities from other planets and their interactions with humans but emphasizes the need for humans to maintain their core essence of light as protection against these higher energetic entities. It stresses the importance of collective cohesion and the creation of thought forms of light to counteract these energies, emphasizing the role of awareness and unity in forming protective shields.</p> <p>While both responses explore interactions between extraterrestrial beings and humans, Response 1 focuses more on the nature of these interactions, the exchange of knowledge, and the varying interests of different populations. In contrast, Response 2 emphasizes the need for humans to fortify themselves against higher energetic entities through collective unity and the creation of protective thought forms. These differences contribute to the moderate level of semantic dissimilarity between the two responses.</p>	

Table B2

SE Astor Responses Highlights to the Same Questions as Reported by Different Channelers

Question	Astor channeled by Mar	Astor channeled by Jul
<p>What is the most important message to be given to mankind...</p>	<p>Importance of taking care of the spiritual essence: We all have an essence beyond the physical body, and it is important to take care of this evanescent part of ourselves. Neglecting our spiritual essence leads to degeneration of the planet as a whole.</p> <p>Urgency of change: Humanity is at a crossroads and can no longer afford to digress, be dissolute, or live lightly. The time for change is now.</p> <p>Need for a change of heart: A change in the way we think and feel is essential for bringing about real change. We must open our hearts to the possibility of change and be willing to sacrifice for the greater good.</p>	<p>Humans need to understand the power of their minds: Humans need to understand the power of their minds and how they can use their thoughts to create things in the earthly reality.</p> <p>Humans are connected to source energy: Humans are connected to source energy at all times and part of this pure energy of love and light.</p> <p>Institutions need to give the power back to people: Institutions, particularly religious ones, need to give the power back to people by teaching them that they are powerful beings able to create on earth.</p> <p>Humans need to move towards telepathic communication: Humans need to move towards telepathic communication and understand the power of their own thoughts and energies.</p> <p>Humans need to send out love: Humans need to send out love to create more love on earth.</p> <p>Mind is all that matters: Mind is all that matters, mind is at the source of all that is. Mind is the creator of matter.</p> <p>Individual responsibility: Individual responsibility to think the right and positive thing is important as the individual can create the reality of the many.</p> <p>Asteroids: There is and will be public conversations around possible asteroids destroying earth. This can only happen if this thought is created in the global consciousness.</p> <p>Hope: This message is delivered in the hope that the many will understand it in their hearts as well as in their minds and use it to help humanity.</p>
<p>ChatGPT</p>	<p>The semantic similarity between these two responses concerning the most important message for mankind's evolution in the next 20 to 30 years can be rated around 5 out of 10. Both responses address the evolution of humanity, emphasizing certain fundamental concepts such as the power of thought, consciousness, and a shift in perspective for societal progress. However, they</p>	

	<p>present these ideas in different contexts and with distinct focal points.</p> <p>Response 1 underscores the importance of connecting with the deeper essence within individuals, advocating for a change in mindset and heart. It highlights the urgency of this awakening, emphasizing the need for a collective shift in consciousness away from destructive paths. The response stresses the gravity of the situation and the necessity for a profound alteration in how humanity perceives and interacts with the world, urging for a widespread change in attitudes and vision.</p> <p>On the other hand, Response 2 emphasizes the power of human thoughts and their influence on reality. It calls for a redirection of focus toward peace, harmony, and understanding one's connection to a higher energy source. The response accentuates the importance of harnessing individual and collective thoughts to create a positive reality, suggesting that a shift in mindset and awareness can drive humanity towards an enlightened age.</p> <p>While both responses focus on spiritual and consciousness-related themes, Response 1 centers more on the urgency of awakening and changing the current trajectory of humanity, while Response 2 highlights the transformative power of human thoughts and their influence on shaping a positive reality. These nuanced differences contribute to the moderate level of semantic dissimilarity between the two responses.</p>
--	---

Table B3a

SE Servan Response Highlights to the Same Questions as Reported by Different Channelers

Question	Servan channeled by Jul	Servan channeled by Val
What is the most important message to be given to mankind...	<p>The need for global consensus on AI: There is a need for global consensus on the processes and procedures that should be observed around artificial intelligence.</p> <p>The potential benefits of AI for Mother Nature: AI has the potential to help heal Mother Nature and address ecological threats.</p> <p>The need for responsible development of AI: AI must be developed responsibly in order to avoid misuse and unintended consequences.</p> <p>The importance of public</p>	<p>Earth is disintegrating: The Earth that man inhabits is slowly disintegrating because man has not taken care of it.</p> <p>Humans need to move to cold areas: Humans need to move to cold areas of the planet that are still underexploited.</p> <p>Humans need to make quick choices: Humans need to make quick choices to reverse the situation and completely change the way they warm up, feed themselves, and use technology.</p> <p>Humans need to live with fewer things: Humans need to learn to live with fewer things if they want to survive.</p> <p>Human population is destined to</p>

	<p>engagement: The public must be engaged in the development and regulation of AI.</p>	<p>disappear: The earth's population is destined to disappear in the future.</p>
<p>ChatGPT</p>	<p>The semantic similarity between these two responses regarding the most important message for humanity's evolution in the next 20 to 30 years is quite low, rated around 2 out of 10. The two responses present vastly different perspectives on the future of humanity and the key messages that need to be conveyed.</p> <p>Response 1 focuses on the significance of global consensus and legislation around artificial intelligence (AI) and its responsible use. It highlights AI's potential as a powerful tool to address humanity's challenges, particularly regarding environmental issues. This response emphasizes the need for responsible governance and collaborative efforts to harness AI for healing nature and protecting the planet. It calls for global agreements akin to those addressing climate change, highlighting the importance of using AI not just for curing human diseases but also for the preservation and care of Mother Nature.</p> <p>In stark contrast, Response 2 takes a darker and more fatalistic view of the future. It suggests that due to the Earth's slow disintegration caused by human neglect, mankind's survival depends on seeking underexploited areas, particularly cold regions like the poles. The response predicts a gradual disappearance of humanity within a few hundred years unless rapid and drastic changes occur, including reducing reliance on technology and living with fewer resources. It implies that human population reduction is inevitable due to the inability to reverse the damage caused by current societal structures.</p> <p>These responses significantly differ in their viewpoints and the messages they convey. Response 1 is optimistic about leveraging AI for positive change and emphasizes the need for global cooperation and responsible action. Conversely, Response 2 offers a bleak outlook, focusing on the Earth's deterioration and the inevitability of humanity's decline without drastic, near-impossible changes. These distinct perspectives contribute to the minimal semantic similarity between the two responses.</p>	
<p>What are the strands of scientific research...</p>	<p>The importance of predictive models: Predictive models are essential for predicting and preventing natural disasters and adverse climate changes.</p> <p>The need to act on early warnings: Early warning systems should be used to prevent and avoid man-made disasters.</p> <p>The need for a change in human behavior: Humans need to learn to live in peace with each other and with nature in order to avoid</p>	<p>The lines of research that teach us to live with few resources and that protect nature as it is, and that are able to adapt to climate change.</p>

	self-destruction.	
	<p>The potential of predictive models: Predictive models will become more sophisticated in the next 10 years, making it possible to prevent catastrophic events.</p>	
ChatGPT	<p>The semantic similarity between these two responses regarding the strands of scientific research that should favor mankind's true evolution and prevent its self-destruction is moderately low, rated around 3 out of 10.</p> <p>Response 1 primarily focuses on the importance of predictive models in scientific research. It emphasizes the necessity of predicting and preventing natural disasters and adverse climate changes. This response underscores the significance of early warning systems to prevent catastrophes, both natural and man-made, and highlights the critical role of recognizing humanity's impact on nature and other lives. It suggests that mankind's true evolution lies in understanding the need to live in harmony with fellow humans and nature. Furthermore, it anticipates the advancement of predictive models in the next decade and urges researchers and scientists to contribute to enhancing these warning systems.</p> <p>On the other hand, Response 2 provides a concise perspective, highlighting the importance of scientific research lines that teach humanity to live with limited resources, protect nature, and adapt to climate change. It emphasizes the need for research that fosters sustainability and resilience in the face of environmental challenges.</p> <p>While both responses touch on environmental aspects and the relationship between mankind and nature, Response 1 delves deeper into the role of predictive models and early warning systems in preventing catastrophes, foreseeing advancements in this field. In contrast, Response 2 provides a more generalized approach, focusing on the broader concepts of living with fewer resources and safeguarding nature without specific reference to predictive models or technological advancements. Hence, the content differences result in a limited degree of semantic similarity between the two responses.</p>	
Question	Servan channeled by Jul	Servan channeled by Cris
Are there sources of energy other than the known ones...	<p>The need to study South Asia: South Asia has not been studied enough, and there may be natural gases that are still to be discovered.</p> <p>The importance of using existing sources of energy: We should focus on using existing sources of energy rather than finding new ones.</p> <p>The potential of natural sources</p>	<p>Energy parasites: There are energy parasites that kill the act of delivering Earth's alternative sustainability knowledge.</p> <p>Renewable sources: Renewable sources do not last long because there is disjunction in intent.</p> <p>Human knowledge: The human being has no current knowledge of the use of</p>

	<p>of energy: There are many natural sources of energy that we can use, such as chimney fires and potatoes.</p> <p>The need to be mindful of geopolitical situations: When we find new sources of energy, we need to be mindful of how geopolitical situations can impact prices.</p>	<p>the planet's sustainability.</p> <p>Unsustainable consumption: He still creates unsustainable consumption and uses little intelligence of the heart.</p> <p>Pragmatic: He is pragmatic but does not connect his heart to action and this makes him little aware of the acts of abuse of himself and of creation.</p> <p>Pure fruits: All the fruits of Man are pure when you use them to create bridges of light.</p> <p>Energy/Spiritual fractures: When, on the other hand, you keep for yourself what the earth gives you, energy/Spiritual fractures are created for which you do not benefit from any of your fruits.</p> <p>Cleansing intent: It is a matter of cleaning the intent with which you ask for wealth and how you use it.</p> <p>Fruitless action: If you boast of your action it becomes fruitless.</p>
<p>ChatGPT</p>	<p>The semantic similarity between these two responses about alternative sources of energy for humanity, particularly in terms of affordability and availability to all, is quite low, rated around 2 out of 10.</p> <p>Response 1 seems to focus primarily on potential natural gas resources in South Asia that might not have been extensively explored or utilized. It mentions the existence of certain gases, possibly referring to a specific light pink-colored natural gas, but notes limitations in its quantity, suggesting it might not be adequate for global supply. Additionally, the response advocates for using existing techniques such as traditional fires and natural sources like vegetable-based energy, emphasizing the importance of utilizing resources already present in nature.</p> <p>In contrast, Response 2 appears more abstract, discussing energy parasites and the lack of sustainability knowledge delivery to Earth. It touches on renewable sources and the disconnect between human actions and sustainable consumption, emphasizing the importance of connecting the heart to actions and intent for sustainable use of resources. The response also delves into philosophical notions about the purity of intentions and the necessity to align intent with action for beneficial outcomes.</p>	

	The content divergence between these responses is significant. Response 1 offers specific geographical pointers and traditional energy methods, while Response 2 focuses more on abstract concepts related to intent, sustainability knowledge, and the alignment of actions with positive outcomes. Hence, the lack of direct content overlap results in a limited degree of semantic similarity between the two responses.
--	--

Table B3b
SE Servan Response Highlights to the Same Questions as Reported by
Different Channelers

Question	Servan channeled by Jul	Servan channeled by Val	Servan channeled by Cris
Is it possible to structure an inter-dimensional communication route?	<p>Inter-dimensional communication is already happening: Inter-dimensional communication is already happening, although it is not visible to most people.</p> <p>We need to train people to communicate inter-dimensionally: We need to train people, not only those who have natural abilities, but everyone else, and the youth in particular.</p> <p>We need to develop our intuition: The only way to fully develop inter-dimensional communication is to develop our intuition.</p> <p>AI can help us to structure inter-dimensional communication: AI can help us to structure inter-dimensional communication by modeling the patterns that are observed during inter-dimensional</p>	<p>Expansion of consciousness: For there to really be an interdimensional communication route there should be an expansion of consciousness.</p> <p>New technologies: It is not an investment in new technologies, but the human being should draw on an expansion of consciousness for which it is not arranged.</p> <p>Investment: The point is that he invests in new technologies, but they are not the ones that will lead him to one interdimensional communication.</p>	<p>Light and shadows: Lights and shadows make the One simple.</p> <p>Sacred Act of Life: One is the Sacred Act of Life.</p> <p>Participation: Each makes himself a participant in the existence of the planet.</p> <p>Cosmic fragments: It is made of cosmic fragments multiplied in the embryonic cells of you human beings.</p> <p>Followers of the one: Followers of the one you are and still try to divide and not unite.</p> <p>Greatest Act of Love: It is the Greatest Act of Love to unite and instead seek to potentially separate and divide parts of the one!</p>

	<p>communication.</p> <p>We need to teach meditation in schools: We need to teach meditation in schools so that people can learn how to access altered states of mind that are required for inter-dimensional communication.</p>	<p>Interdimensional communication : He should be able to expand his consciousness.</p>	<p>Sacred by love: Each makes himself sacred by making choices of love recreated and expanded.</p> <p>Lights and shadows are connected: Lights and shadows are connected and receive different tasks to be integrated into one!</p> <p>Love of the soul: Each follows himself in the love of the soul Love.</p> <p>Union and emanation of Love: There is union and emanation of Love by receiving the one!.</p>
<p>Chat GPT</p>	<p>Response 1: 6 Response 2: 5 Response 3: 2</p> <p>Response 1 focuses on the need for structured training and development of intuition, suggesting AI integration and specific meditation techniques for inter-dimensional communication. Response 2 emphasizes the necessity of consciousness expansion rather than technological advancement. Response 3 seems more abstract and philosophical, discussing unity, love, and the integration of lights and shadows, deviating significantly in content and focus from the other two responses.</p>		
<p>What makes human experience unique....</p>	<p>Human experience is the most difficult: Human experience is the most difficult of all experiences because humans have the ability to feel and inflict pain.</p> <p>Humans have the ability to build and destroy: Humans have the ability to build and destroy at the same time.</p> <p>Humans learn through their experiences:</p>	<p>Learning process: Having experiences in physicality actually accelerates the learning process of consciousness.</p> <p>Unaware: The human being is mostly unaware of what he does, of his mission, in the span of a</p>	<p>Love is experience: Love is a powerful force that can create positive change in the world. It is an experience that can transform us on a deep level.</p> <p>Power: Power can be a destructive force if it is not used wisely. It can lead to greed, corruption, and violence.</p>

	<p>Humans learn so much as their lives on earth unfold because they have powerful free will and the ability to use it to either create or destroy.</p>	<p>lifetime.</p> <p>Mission: The fact of living it concretely in the physical body elevates the state of consciousness.</p> <p>Irreplaceable: It is an irreplaceable experience.</p>	<p>Destructive: When power is used in a negative way, it can be devastating for individuals and societies. It can lead to war, poverty, and environmental destruction.</p> <p>Alternative source: There is an alternative to the destructive power of the current human project. This alternative is based on love, compassion, and cooperation.</p> <p>Creating: We are creating this alternative source of power by raising our consciousness and changing our way of life. We are learning to live in harmony with nature and with each other.</p>
<p>ChatGPT</p>	<p>Response 1: 7 Response 2: 8 Response 3: 4</p> <p>Response 1 emphasizes the unique challenge and duality of human experience, focusing on the ability to create or destroy and the significance of free will in shaping life on Earth. Response 2 discusses how experiencing life in a physical form accelerates the learning process of consciousness, highlighting the value of living in a human body for the elevation of consciousness. Response 3 takes a different direction, mentioning the role of love in creating experience and suggesting an alternative source to the human project on Earth, diverging significantly in content and focus from the other two responses.</p>		